



# Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2019 - 2020 School Report



**Colquitt County  
Colquitt County High School**

## 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

### 1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member’s name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Leadership Team

	Position/Role	Name
Team Member # 1	Principal	Clifford (Jamie) Dixon
Team Member # 2	Assistant Principal	Alicia Hiers
Team Member # 3	Assistant Principal	Chad Horne
Team Member # 4	Assistant Principal	Rondesha Williams
Team Member # 5	Assistant Principal	Marlon Baker
Team Member # 6	Assistant Principal	Darrell Funderburk
Team Member # 7	Guidance Director	Donna Mitchell

#### Additional Leadership Team

	Position/Role	Name
Team Member # 1	Teacher	Denver Dunn
Team Member # 2	Teacher	Tracy Willis
Team Member # 3	Teacher	Susan Passmore
Team Member # 4	Teacher	Palisa Bautista
Team Member # 5	Teacher	Amy Dalton
Team Member # 6	Teacher	Kendall Vance
Team Member # 7	Teacher	Dana Cook
Team Member # 8	Teacher	Sydney Elkin
Team Member # 9	Teacher	Kristy McLean
Team Member # 10	Special Education Department Chair	Donna Brinson

# 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

## 1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

**Stakeholders**

	Position/Role	Name
Stakeholder # 1	Local School Governance Team Member	Chuck Bannister
Stakeholder # 2	Local School Governance Team Member/Parent	Laura Durrence
Stakeholder # 3	Local School Governance Team Member	Judy Mobley
Stakeholder # 4	Local School Governance Team Member/Parent	Wendy Tostenson
Stakeholder # 5		
Stakeholder # 6		
Stakeholder # 7		
Stakeholder # 8		

<b>How will the team ensure that stakeholders are able to provide meaningful feedback throughout the needs assessment process?</b>	<p>1 At each Local School Governance Team meeting, an update on the progress of School Improvement Plan Action Steps will be shared with the team. Time will be included in the agenda to address questions, issues, and recommendations from team members. Team members will also receive an explanation of the School Improvement Plan process, which includes the Situation Appraisal and Root Cause Analysis processes. Stakeholders will understand that the School Improvement Plan is a living document that requires effective monitoring from all stakeholders - parents, students, educators, and community members.</p> <p>2 The School Improvement Plan will be shared with parents during Parent Nights at the high school.</p>
--	--

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Coherent Instructional System webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Curriculum Standard 1</b> -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning.  Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning.  Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning.  Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning.  Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.	

Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Curriculum Standard 2</b> -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the required standards		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process.  These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards.  These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards.	

<b>Instruction Standard 1</b> -Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.  Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms.	

<b>Instruction Standard 2</b> -Creates an academically challenging learning environment		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	

Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Instruction Standard 3</b> -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to curriculum standards		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.  Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.  Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.	

<b>Instruction Standard 4</b> -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	

Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Instruction Standard 5 -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.  Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).  Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.  Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.	

<b>Instruction Standard 6 -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	

Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Instruction Standard 7</b> -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.  Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable.	

<b>Instruction Standard 8</b> -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	

<b>Instruction Standard 9</b> -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.  Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner.	

Coherent Instruction Data

Assessment Standard 1 -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment.	
2. Operational	Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	✓
3. Emerging	Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	

Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices		
1. Exemplary	Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes.  The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices.	
2. Operational	Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices.	✓
3. Emerging	Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.	
4. Not Evident	Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.	

Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction		
1. Exemplary	Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both.	
2. Operational	Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	✓
3. Emerging	Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	
4. Not Evident	A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Effective Leadership webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Effective Leadership Data

Leadership Standard 1 -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.  The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, and community stakeholders.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	

Leadership Standard 2 -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.  Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.  The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning.	

Effective Leadership Data

<b>Leadership Standard 3</b> -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.</p> <p>The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	

<b>Leadership Standard 4</b> -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement.	

<b>Leadership Standard 5</b> -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.</p> <p>Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.	

Effective Leadership Data

<b>Leadership Standard 6</b> -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused on student learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation.</p>	

<b>Leadership Standard 7</b> -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple data sources		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> <p>Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	

Effective Leadership Data

Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual needs is provided to teachers and other staff.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual needs.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual needs.	

Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.	

Effective Leadership Data

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 2</b> -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement a school improvement plan that is focused on student performance		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance.</p> <p>This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders.</p> <p>The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place.</p>	

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 3</b> -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes adjustments as needed		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data.</p>	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators.</p>	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored.</p>	

Effective Leadership Data

Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored.  School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored.	

Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and procedures to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.  These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.  These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented.  In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness.	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA’s data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Professional Capacity webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Professional Capacity Data

<b>Leadership Standard 5</b> -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.  Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.	

<b>Professional Learning Standard 1</b> -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of a variety of data		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).  Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data.	

Professional Capacity Data

Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhance individual and collective performance		
1. Exemplary	Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).  Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes.	
2. Operational	Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).	✓
3. Emerging	Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.	
4. Not Evident	Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.	

Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning		
1. Exemplary	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses.	
2. Operational	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	✓
3. Emerging	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	
4. Not Evident	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	

Professional Capacity Data

Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learning needs of the staff		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks).  Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs.  Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs.	

Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective professional learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning.  Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning.	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA’s data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Family and Community Engagement webinar](#) for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia’s Family Connection Partnership’s [KIDS COUNT](#) for additional data.

#### Family and Community Engagement Data

Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school		
1. Exemplary	The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.  Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers.	
2. Operational	The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.	
3. Emerging	The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.	✓
4. Not Evident	The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school.	

Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders		
1. Exemplary	Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.  Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication.	
2. Operational	Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.	
3. Emerging	Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.	✓
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.	

Family and Community Engagement Data

Family and Community Engagement Standard 3 -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being.</p> <p>Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success.	

Family and Community Engagement Standard 4 -Communicates academic expectations and current student achievement status to families		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols).</p> <p>Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year.</p> <p>Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p>	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year.</p> <p>Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p>	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations.</p> <p>Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p>	

## Family and Community Engagement Data

<b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 5</b> -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	

<b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 6</b> -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA’s data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by “TFS” (too few students). See the [Supportive Learning Environment webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Supportive Learning Environment Data

Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.  Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms.	

Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	

Supportive Learning Environment Data

<b>Instruction Standard 8</b> -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	

<b>School Culture Standard 1</b> -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school.  These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated.	

Supportive Learning Environment Data

<b>School Culture Standard 2</b> -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community.</p>	

<b>School Culture Standard 3</b> -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.</p> <p>The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to prepare students for success.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.</p>	

Supportive Learning Environment Data

School Culture Standard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students		
1. Exemplary	The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students.	
2. Operational	The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students.	✓
3. Emerging	The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students.	
4. Not Evident	The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students.	
School Culture Standard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff		
1. Exemplary	The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.  The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school.	
2. Operational	The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.	
3. Emerging	The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff.	✓
4. Not Evident	The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff.	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA’s data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by “TFS” (too few students).

What perception data did you use?	1 The Data Exploration Team used the Student Health Survey perception data.
-----------------------------------	---

What does the perception data tell you?	<p>1 The team noted that at least 3/4 of the students surveyed like school, fit in at school, and feel successful at school. Students feel that teachers respect them. Ninety-one percent of students report that they treat other students fairly, and 92% believe in helping others. Students also feel safe at school (70%), but on the other hand, 71% reported that students fight a lot at their school.</p> <p>2 As far as drug and alcohol use, 25% or less of students report using alcohol, tobacco products, electronic vapor products, marijuana, methamphetamines, or other drugs not prescribed to them. Interestingly, students' perception of risk or harm from using drugs, tobacco products, or alcohol is mostly split around 50/50 - 50% (+/-) seeing little or no risk and 50% (+/-) seeing moderate or great risk.</p>
---	--

What process data did you use?	1 Utilized Contact Log Report within Infinite Campus and cross-referenced with End Of Year Failure Report to see if home contact was made.
--------------------------------	--

What does your process data tell you?	1 There were 71 teachers that did not contact the parent(s) when their student was failing the course.
---------------------------------------	--

What achievement data did you use?	1 The Data Exploration Team examined 2017, 2018, and 2019 Spring End of Course data (School, District, State), 2017, 2018, and 2019 Advanced Placement Exam data (School, State, Global), 2018 and 2019 Student Failure Reports, 2017, 2018, and 2019 Reading Inventory data, and student attendance data.
------------------------------------	--

What does your achievement data tell you?	<p>1 HAWG Time (daily 33-minute period used for remediation, make up work, redos) is working to reduce the number of failed courses. There was a 55% decline in number of failed courses comparing Fall 2017 to Fall 2018 and a 42% decline comparing Spring 2018 to Spring 2019.</p> <p>2 Percentages of students scoring levels 3 and 4 (prepared) on EOCs are mostly stagnant over the last 3 years and are well below the State. Some gains (Geometry, 5% gain from 2018 to 2019) are comparable to RESA percentages.</p> <p>3 AP Exam scores show no significant growth over a 3-year period, and some</p>
---	---

<p>What does your achievement data tell you?</p>	<p>indicate a profound downward trend.                      4 Too many students are missing 10 or more days of school (a total of 630 students during the 2018-2019 school year). This total represents almost 1/3 of our student body.</p>
--	---

<p>What demographic data did you use?</p>	<p>1 Demographic data was included for EOC 3-year trend, Attendance, and Discipline.</p>
---	--

<p>What does the demographic data tell you?</p>	<p>1 Percentages of SWD and ELL students scoring level 3 were extremely low, and for level 4, percentages were almost non-existent.                      2 White males had the highest percentage of missing 10 or more days of school.                      3 Black males had the highest percentages of discipline incidences for each of the last 3 years.</p>
---	---

### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

##### Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

<p><b>Coherent Instructional:</b> Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p><b>1Strength:</b> Evidence shows that HAWG Time (daily 33-minute period for remediation, make up, redo, study) is an effective action step in reducing the number of courses failed by students. Teachers utilized this time to remediate their own students who did not master Unit standards. <b>Challenge:</b> Creating an effective plan to remediate students during the formative process before they get to the summative assessment.</p> <p><b>2Strength:</b> Common planning times were utilized for Professional Learning Communities for each content area. Throughout the school year, PLC Teams improved their methods of analyzing student assessment data (with Illuminate reports) and providing appropriate remediation activities during HAWG Time for students who did not master standards. <b>Challenges:</b> 1) Getting away from "only I can remediate MY students" and moving towards "how are WE going to remediate OUR students when they don't learn?" 2) Using Illuminate for formative assessments and using its reports effectively and efficiently.</p> <p><b>3Strength:</b> Teachers (some), administrators, and Guidance personnel began using the Contact Log within Infinite Campus to document school-to-home contacts regarding grades, attendance, and discipline. <b>Challenge:</b> Improve consistency of communication between school and home.</p>
<p><b>Effective Leadership:</b> Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p><b>1Strength:</b> Administrators began to monitor the Contact Log and Failing Grades Report in Infinite Campus. Administrators talked to individual students who were failing one or more courses needed for graduation. Attendance and behavior issues were also discussed. <b>Challenge:</b> Meet with students monthly in order to monitor closely their progress.</p> <p><b>2Challenge:</b> Most building leaders monitored the PLC process by attending content PLC Team planning last year. Increased leadership presence and participation is needed to ensure effective use of time and clarity of focus.</p>

## Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

<p><b>Professional Capacity:</b> Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p><b>Strengths:</b> PLC Teams have vetted and identified essential (power) standards and learning targets for units of study. <b>Challenges:</b> 1) Create a plan to remediate "our" students in a timely, efficient manner after formative assessments instead of waiting until the summative assessment. 2) Provide continuing professional development for Illuminate related to reports and building custom reports. 3) Add common formative assessments to Illuminate.</p>
<p><b>Family and Community Engagement:</b> Summarize the family and community engagement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p><b>Strengths:</b> 1) The Guidance Department organizes several Parent Nights throughout the year. Parents may hear general information and then choose break-out sessions according to their needs. 2) Parent-Teacher Conferences occur every Tuesday afternoon. <b>Challenges:</b> There were many parents who were not contacted when their child failed a class. Some teachers are reluctant to contact parents.</p>
<p><b>Supportive Learning Environment:</b> Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p><b>Strengths:</b> We offer support classes for students with gaps in foundational skills (Algebra I Support and Geometry Support). <b>Challenge:</b> 1) In 2019-20, we must develop and monitor a more focused system of providing appropriate and timely feedback for formative assessments (assessments <i>for</i> learning) instead of only summative assessments (assessments <i>of</i> learning). 2) We must develop, maintain, and monitor a system for keeping up with student absences AND utilize PBIS strategies for rewarding good attendance and behavior.</p>
<p><b>Demographic and Financial:</b> Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p><b>Strengths:</b> We have begun to use relevant data to drill down and identify the specific learning needs of our SWD populations. The financial demands of adding certified staff to meet needs of SWD students were budgeted so that a new Special Education model could be implemented. <b>Challenges:</b> SWD and EL continue to be the students who are "not prepared" based on EOC data. Special Education teachers must understand the critical role they play in student success on the EOC and must commit to and participate in the PLC process in the content area in which they serve.</p>
<p><b>Student Achievement:</b> Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student,</p>	<p><b>Strengths:</b> The percentage of Geometry students scoring Levels 3 and 4 increased by 5% from 2018. <b>Challenges:</b> EOC scores have been stagnant over the last 3 years while other schools in our RESA have increased in performance. PLC Teams must continue to refine their processes, commit to the curriculum, take collective responsibility for all students, and engage all students in taking responsibility for their own learning.</p>

## Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

<p><b>Student Achievement:</b> Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p><b>Strengths:</b> The percentage of Geometry students scoring Levels 3 and 4 increased by 5% from 2018. <b>Challenges:</b> EOC scores have been stagnant over the last 3 years while other schools in our RESA have increased in performance. PLC Teams must continue to refine their processes, commit to the curriculum, take collective responsibility for all students, and engage all students in taking responsibility for their own learning.</p>
---	---

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

<p><b>Strengths</b></p>	<p>At CCHS, all leaders and teachers have committed to looking at multiple points of data to make informed decisions about student learning and the effectiveness of programs. Based on recent data digs (Spring and Summer 2019), two significant shifts have taken place.</p> <p>1 In looking at EOC data over a 3-year period, the evidence is clear. With very few exceptions, students with Disabilities and English Language Learners do not score Proficient or higher on any EOC Test. For this reason, the Special Education department restructured its plan for meeting the needs of SWDs in the Spring of 2019. By basing student placement in courses on multiple points of data (Lexile, EOC scores, attendance, grades, behavior), SPED teachers were able to carve out a truer IEP for each student. Additional staff were hired to carry out the plan effectively.</p> <p>2 EL needs were also considered after looking at multiple points of data (including ACCESS data), and a plan was formed to structure EL schedules according to each student's specific learning needs.</p>
-------------------------	---

<p><b>Challenges</b></p>	<p>1 Because the new approach is different, the biggest challenge is providing appropriate support (professional learning, mentor support) for co-teachers and ESOL teachers as they learn and make the transition in real time. The large number of new Special Education teachers brings its own challenges.</p> <p>2 Finding appropriate resources demands a thorough vetting of potential resources and a joint determination of whether or not the resources are worth the costs.</p>
--------------------------	--

### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need	1 The percentage of students achieving Proficient and above (Levels 3 & 4) on the 2019 Geometry GMAS EOC (36%, up 5% from 2018) is 1% higher than the RESA (35%) but is 5% lower than the State (41%).
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	Better
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	2

Additional Considerations	Some students who are on an advanced academic track take the Geometry EOC in the 9th grade at another school. Ninety-six percent of those students scored Levels 3 or 4 on the 2019 Geometry GMAS EOC. These students' scores are not included in CCHS percentages.
---------------------------	---

Overarching Need # 2

Overarching Need	2 The percentage of students achieving Proficient and above (Levels 3 & 4) on the 2019 American Lit GMAS EOC (35%), although equal to RESA, is 12% lower than the State (47%). This is not an acceptable performance level given that both the RESA and the State maintained consistent percentages from 2018 to 2019 on the American Lit GMAS EOC (35% and 47%, respectively) while CCHS performed 3% lower than our 2018 American Lit GMAS EOC (38%)
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	Worse
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	1

Additional Considerations	
---------------------------	--

Overarching Need # 3

Overarching Need	A total of 630 students (35%) missed more than ten days during the 2018-2019 school year. This percentage, although down 3% from 2018, is too high.
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	Better
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	3

Additional Considerations	The Root Cause, based on student behavior, is an assumption.
---------------------------	--

### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the [Identifying Need webinar](#). After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

**Overarching Need - 1** The percentage of students achieving Proficient and above (Levels 3 & 4) on the 2019 Geometry GMAS EOC (36%, up 5% from 2018) is 1% higher than the RESA (35%) but is 5% lower than the State (41%).

Root Cause # 1

Root Causes to be Addressed	1 The curriculum is not guaranteed in every classroom.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment Others :

Additional Responses	Clarification of Root Cause: What is taught (essential standards/power standards) and how students are assessed formally (common summative assessments) are guaranteed through the PLC process; however, how standards are taught (strategies, activities) and how students are assessed formatively may be different from class to class.
----------------------	--

**Overarching Need - 2** The percentage of students achieving Proficient and above (Levels 3 & 4) on the 2019 American Lit GMAS EOC (35%), although equal to RESA, is 12% lower than the State (47%). This is not an acceptable performance level given that both the RESA and the State maintained consistent percentages from 2018 to 2019 on the American Lit GMAS EOC (35% and 47%, respectively) while CCHS performed 3% lower than our 2018 American Lit GMAS EOC (38%)

## Root Cause # 1

Root Causes to be Addressed	The curriculum is not guaranteed in every classroom.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment Others :

Additional Responses	Clarification of Root Cause: What is taught (essential standards/power standards) and how students are assessed formally (common summative assessments) are guaranteed through the PLC process; however, how standards are taught (strategies, activities) and how students are assessed formatively may be different from class to class.
----------------------	--

**Overarching Need - A total of 630 students (35%) missed more than ten days during the 2018-2019 school year. This percentage, although down 3% from 2018, is too high.**

## Root Cause # 1

Root Causes to be Addressed	The consequences of missing ten or more days are not deterrents.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	No
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and

Root Cause # 1

Impacted Programs	Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment Others :
-------------------	--

Additional Responses	Because one data point for student attendance data is based on student perceptions, the Root Cause can only be an assumption. For example, in the Student Health Survey, 74% of students reported that they liked school, 79% felt they fit in at school, and 79% felt successful at school. Yet 35% missed ten or more days during the 2018-2019 school year.
----------------------	--



# School Improvement Plan 2019 - 2020



**Colquitt County  
Colquitt County High School**

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

District	1 Colquitt
School Name	1 Colquitt County High School
Team Lead	1 Clifford Dixon / Alicia Hiers

Federal Funding Options to Be Employed (SWP Schools) in this Plan (Select all that apply)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Traditional funding (all Federal funds budgeted separately)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Consolidated funds (state/local and federal funds consolidated) - Cohort systems ONLY
<input type="checkbox"/>	'FUND 400' - Consolidation of Federal funds only

Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Free/Reduced meal application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (if selected, please describe below)

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.1 Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need

Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2	1 The percentage of students achieving Proficient and above (Levels 3 & 4) on the 2019 Geometry GMAS EOC (36%, up 5% from 2018) is 1% higher than the RESA (35%) but is 5% lower than the State (41%).
Root Cause # 1	1 The curriculum is not guaranteed in every classroom.
Goal	Increase the percentage of students scoring Proficient Learner and above on the 2020 Geometry GMAS EOC by 3%.

Action Step # 1

Action Step	Make scheduling adjustments and teaching assignments based on EOC data.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A
Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership
Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness	Utilize reports in Infinite Campus and Illuminate to pull assessment data.
Position/Role Responsible	Department Chairs, Administrators
Timeline for Implementation	Monthly

What partnerships with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
---	--

Action Step # 2

Action Step	Build effective PLCs that continuously focus on learning, build a collaborative culture and collective responsibility, and embody a results orientation. UPDATE: This process will include creating a PLC checklist so that all PLCs have a clear understanding of what an effective team looks like.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A
Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness	Administrators observe PLCs in action and check Google Share Drives for documentation of evidence, (update) which includes PLC minutes, the 4 questions, and PLC checklist.
Position/Role Responsible	Administrators, content PLCs
Timeline for Implementation	Weekly

What partnerships with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
---	--

Action Step # 3

Action Step	Utilize HAWG time to remediate after COMMON formative assessments (before end-of-unit summative assessment).
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities

Action Step # 3

Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness	Examine summative assessment data
Position/Role Responsible	Teachers, PLCs
Timeline for Implementation	Weekly

What partnerships with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
---	--

Action Step # 4

Action Step	Form HAWG Time Revision Committee to revisit HAWG Time Plan to incorporate specific procedures and expectations for students and staff.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness	Administrator is Lead on Revision Committee
Position/Role Responsible	Administrator
Timeline for Implementation	Monthly

What partnerships with IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
---	--

Action Step # 4

<p>What partnerships with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?</p>	
--	--

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.2 OverarchingNeed # 2

Overarching Need

Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2	2 The percentage of students achieving Proficient and above (Levels 3 & 4) on the 2019 American Lit GMAS EOC (35%), although equal to RESA, is 12% lower than the State (47%). This is not an acceptable performance level given that both the RESA and the State maintained consistent percentages from 2018 to 2019 on the American Lit GMAS EOC (35% and 47%, respectively) while CCHS performed 3% lower than our 2018 American Lit GMAS EOC (38%)
Root Cause # 1	The curriculum is not guaranteed in every classroom.
Goal	Increase the percentage of students scoring as Proficient Learners and above by 3% on the 2020 American Lit GMAS EOC.

Action Step # 1

Action Step	Base teaching assignments on EOC data.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A
Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness	Examine 2020 EOC data
Position/Role Responsible	Administration, Guidance Director, Department Chairs
Timeline for Implementation	Yearly

What partnerships with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
---	--

Action Step # 2

Action Step	Build effective PLCs that continuously focus on learning, build a collaborative culture and collective responsibility, and embody a results orientation. UPDATE: This process will include creating a PLC checklist of best practices so that all PLCs have a clear understanding of what an effective team looks like.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A
Systems	Effective Leadership Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness	Administration attends PLCs during data examination and checks Google Share Drives for evidence documents (.pdf of Illuminate data, PLC minutes, curriculum maps, remediation plans, checklists, etc.)
Position/Role Responsible	Administration, content area PLCs
Timeline for Implementation	Weekly

What partnerships with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
---	--

Action Step # 3

Action Step	Utilize HAWG time to remediate after COMMON formative assessments (before end-of-unit summative assessment).
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A

Action Step # 3

Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness	Data analysis of student summative assessment
Position/Role Responsible	Teachers, Administrators
Timeline for Implementation	Weekly

What partnerships with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
---	--

Action Step # 4

Action Step	Form HAWG Time Revision Committee to revisit HAWG Time Plan to incorporate specific procedures and expectations for students and staff.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness	Administrator is Lead on Revision Committee
Position/Role Responsible	Administrator
Timeline for Implementation	Monthly

What partnerships with IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
---	--

Action Step # 4

<p>What partnerships with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?</p>	
--	--

## 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

### 2.3 Overarching Need # 3

#### Overarching Need

Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2	A total of 630 students (35%) missed more than ten days during the 2018-2019 school year. This percentage, although down 3% from 2018, is too high.
Root Cause # 1	The consequences of missing ten or more days are not deterrents.
Goal	Decrease the percentage of students missing more than 10 days during the 2019-2020 school year by 5%.

#### Action Step # 1

Action Step	Create a plan for rewarding good attendance.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities
Systems	Effective Leadership Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness	Examine 2019-2020 attendance and behavior data and compare to 2018-2019 data
Position/Role Responsible	PBIS Team, Administrators, all Faculty and Staff
Timeline for Implementation	Monthly

What partnerships with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
---	--

Action Step # 2

Action Step	Revise the plan for monitoring attendance.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities
Systems	Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Family and Community Engagement
Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness	Examine 2019-2020 attendance and behavior data and compare to 2018-2019 data
Position/Role Responsible	PBIS Team, Guidance, Leadership Team
Timeline for Implementation	Monthly

What partnerships with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
---	--

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

3 Required Questions

Required Questions

<p><b>1 In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders) was accomplished.</b></p>	<p>CCHS formed a Data Exploration Team that included Administrators, teachers (some of which are also parents), and Leadership Team members. All members of the team were able to see the year's data posted on the walls, carefully consider what the data meant, and participate in meaningful conversations about issues observed. Additionally, our school has continually sought input from our local school governance team periodically during the course of the year as plans for improvement are made. Teachers and staff at our school discuss needs for improvement on a regular schedule during faculty meetings as well; teachers and staff also provide meaningful feedback each year through required surveys through GADOE. During parent and community nights at our school, participants are encouraged to provide input regarding school improvement, and surveys are also available for parents to complete throughout the year. The survey information, feedback from parent and community meetings, and teacher input provided during faculty meetings, PLCs, and school-based data meetings are reflected in the data for each of our school's improvement goals.</p>
<p><b>2 Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.</b></p>	<p>Our school will partner with postsecondary teacher preparation programs upon request to support pre-service training of potential new educators. Additionally, our school will work with our system's human resource department to provide high-quality induction experiences for new teachers (through the New Teacher Bridge Program) and to promote teacher leadership strategies within our building. Our school will also provide data to monitor equitable access in order for our faculty to reflect the needs of our students and to alleviate equity gaps.</p>
<p><b>3 Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I School. Specifically define the subject areas to be addressed and the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).</b></p>	<p>The Title I program offers a variety of services which may include: additional teachers and support staff, extra time for instruction, a variety of teaching methods and materials, up-to-date technology for students and instruction, smaller classes and additional training for staff. The specific services that are implemented are based on a comprehensive needs assessment process that involves root cause analysis to identify areas/students in the greatest need. Once the areas of need are identified school-wide reform strategies are implemented that include steps to strengthen the core academic program, increase the amount and quality of learning time, meet the needs of underserved populations, provide highly qualified teachers, facilitate and support professional learning for teachers, and provide multiple opportunities for parental involvement.</p>

<p>4 If applicable, provide a description of how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel, will identify eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance schools/programs. Please include a description of how the school will develop and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) objective, academic-based performance criteria to rank students for service. Also include a description of the measurable scale (point system) that uses the objective criteria to rank all students.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
--	------------

<p>5 If applicable, describe how the school will support, coordinate, and integrate services with early childhood programs at the school level, including strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
--	------------

<p>6 If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including: Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and local partners; and Increased student access to early college, high school, or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills.</p>	<p>At the end of grade 9, an all-encompassing process occurs when registration for the next grade begins; guidance specialists, school leaders, subject area leaders and teacher-leaders, provide multiple opportunities for students and their parents/guardians to gain access to the information they need about the next level of schooling in addition to providing times for families to get to know the new school in person. These activities take place at various times of the year and at various times of day to provide the most access for students as they move between schools. At the high school level (grades 9-12), the Colquitt County School System partners with multiple post-secondary institutions to provide an increasing number of dual enrollment opportunities, college visitation days, and financial aid/ application process information.</p>
---	---

<p>7 Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students.</p>	<p>A PBIS discipline system will establish the social and behavioral supports students need to grow and excel both socially and academically. This is achieved through multiple tiers of prevention, intervention, and support that range from school-wide procedures to specialized and individualized disciplinary actions. Our School's PBIS discipline system will focus on the encouragement of positive behavior, coming from every source of authority students come in contact with. Our process will include a data-based decision making framework that guides selection, integration, and implementation of evidence-based practices to improve student outcomes with a focus on developing and sustaining a proactive and preventive system. This three-tiered</p>
--	--

**7 Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students.**

prevention model will apply to both academic and behavior instruction and intervention to meet the needs of all students in a school. Our application of this model will occur at four levels that are fluid and that are analyzed through structured problem solving to inform the needs of students, before interventions are developed, monitored, and altered based upon the needs of the students.

ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

**8 Use the space below to provide additional narrative regarding the school's improvement plan**

1